

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GROVER SELLERS

Monorable H. A. Jamison Deputy Commissioner Banking Department Austin, Texas

Dear Mr. Jamison:

Opinion No. 0-06-96

Re: Construction of Article 10, Chapter VII of the Toxas Bank-ing Odd with respect to payment of a minor's deposit upon his death.

We have your inquiry as follows:

*A question has arisen regarding the construction of Article in, Chapter VII of the Texas Banking Code of 1943, as it pertains to a transaction involving a joint deposit and survivorship contract thich involves a minor.

"The question is: Could a bank rely on the language of article 10, Chapter VII as its protection in the payment of an amount to an adult under the terms of a joint deposit and survivorship contract, the minor being deceased?"

Code of 1943, is as follows:

posit, payable to or on the order of (a) any one of two or more persons, or (b) a minor, married woman, or other person under disability, or in form payable to or on the order of one person, for the benefit of or in trust for another, without the terms of the trust

being disclosed to the bank in Writing, to any one of such joint depositors (before or after the death of the other joint depositor or depositors), or to such minor, married woman, or other person under disability, or, on the death or disability of the trustee, to the beneficiary of such truste.

An analysis of this Article shows that it authorises a bank to make payment from the following deposit accounts, and to make such payment to the persons named.

The bank may pay from a deposit payable to, or on the order of,

(a) Anyone of two or more persons:

(b) A minor * * * or any other person under disability; or,

(c) Of trust for another (without the terms of the trust being disclosed in writing).

Such deposits are authorized to be paid to:

- (a) Anyone of such joint depositors;
- (b) Such minor + + + or other person under disability; or,
- (c) The beneficiary of such trust, upon the death or disability of the trustee.

Your question is answered in the affirmative. Such a deposit is a joint deposit, and therefore within (a) in both factors of the above analysis. The fact that one of such joint depositors is a minor does not bring your case within (b) since that deals with deposits by minors, married women, and other incompetents.

FE 9, 1944

Very truly yours

ATTURNEY GENERAL OF TEXA

PEXAS BY

08-<u>La</u>

oon della

Ocie Speer Assistant APPROVED
OPINION
COMMITTEE
BY SUBS